

Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board

May 6, 2015

Eric Findlay
Senior Project Manger
County of Placer
Facility Services Department
11476 C Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603



No Further Action Required for the Former Ronning Property, 8784 North Lake Boulevard, Kings Beach, Placer County, UST Case #6T0374A

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region (Water Board) finds the release of petroleum products at this site poses a low threat to human health, safety, and the environment, and concludes the site meets the criteria of the Water Quality Control Policy for Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure.

This letter confirms the completion of a site investigation and corrective action for the USTs formerly located at the above-described location. Thank you for your cooperation throughout this investigation. Your willingness and promptness in responding to our inquiries concerning the former UST's are greatly appreciated.

Based on information in the above-referenced file and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, this agency finds that the site investigation and corrective action carried out at your UST site is in compliance with the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code and with corrective action regulations adopted pursuant to Section 25299.3 of the Health and Safety Code and that no further action related to the petroleum release(s) at the site is required. This notice is issued pursuant to subdivision (g) of Section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code.

Claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs submitted to the UST Cleanup Fund more than 365 days after the date of this letter or issuance of the Fund's Letter of Commitment, whichever occurs later, will not be reimbursed unless one of the following exceptions applies:

 Claims are submitted pursuant to Section 25299.57, subdivision (k) (reopened UST case); or Submission within the timeframe was beyond the claimant's reasonable control, ongoing work is required for closure that will result in the submission of claims beyond that time period, or that under the circumstances of the case, it would be unreasonable or inequitable to impose the 365-day time period.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Tammy Lundquist at (530) 542-5420 or Tammy.Lundquist@waterboards.ca.gov.

PATTYZ. KOUYOUMDJIAN

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Enclosure: Low-threat UST Case Closure Policy Checklist

cc w/ enclosure: Placer County Environmental Health, West Bourgault

TML/dk/T: Fmr Ronning Property NFAR Letter

File Under: UST, Placer County, Former Ronning Property, 6T0374A

FORMER RONNING PROPERTY (NLB 8784, LLC) (10606181132) - MAP THIS SITE OPEN - ELIGIBLE 8784 NORTH LAKE BOULEVARD KINGS BEACH , CA 96143 PLACER COUNTY PUBLIC WEBPAGE OPEN - ELIGIBLE CLEANUP OVERSIGHT AGENCIES LAHONTAN RWOCB (REGION 6T) (LEAD) - CASE # 6T0374A CASEWORKER: TAMMY LUNDOUIST - SUPERVISOR: TOM GAY	E FOR CL	
KINGS BEACH , CA 96143 ACTIVITIES REPORT LAHONTAN RWOCB (REGION 6T) (LEAD) - CASE # 670374A PLACER COUNTY PUBLIC WEBPAGE CASEWORKER: TAMMY LUNDOUIST - SUPERVISOR: TOM GAI		OSURE
VIEW PRINTABLE CASE SUMMARY FOR THIS SITE PLACER COUNTY	VIGAN	
CR Site ID #: NOT SPECIFIED	No.	
THIS PROJECT WAS LAST MODIFIED BY TAMERLE LUNDQUIST ON 5/4/2015 1:51:07 PM - HISTORY		
THIS SITE HAS SUBMITTALS, CLICK <u>HERE</u> TO OPEN A NEW WINDOW WITH THE SUBMITTAL APPROVAL PAGE FOR THIS SITE.	e 624	3
CLOSURE POLICY THIS VERSION IS FINAL AS OF 5/4/2015 CHECKLIST INITIATED ON 12/21/2012 CLOSURE	E POLICY	HISTORY
General Criteria - The site satisfies the policy general criteria - CLEAR SECTION ANSWERS YES		
a. Is the unauthorized release located within the service area of a public water system?		
Name of Water System: NORTH TAMOE FUD - MAIN (TAMOE VISTA,)	YES	⊚ №
b. The unauthorized release consists only of petroleum (info).	YES	€ NO
c. The unauthorized ("primary") release from the UST system has been stopped.	YES	€ NO
d. Free product has been removed to the maximum extent practicable (info).	YES	⊕ №
e. A conceptual site model that assesses the nature, extent, and mobility of the release has been developed (info).	YES	⊚ №
f. Secondary source has been removed to the extent practicable (info).	YES	⊕ №
g. Soil or groundwater has been tested for MTBE and results reported in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 25296.15. Not Required	YES	ON O
h. Does a nuisance exist, as defined by Water Code section 13050.	YES	NO 🌘
1. Media-Specific Criteria: Groundwater - The contaminant plume that exceeds water quality objectives is stable or decreasing in areal extent, and meets all of the addition characteristics of one of the five classes of sites listed below CLEAR SECTION ANSWERS	nal [YES
EXEMPTION - Soil Only Case (Release has not Affected Groundwater - Info)	O YES	NO NO
	YES	⊕ ио
1.1 - The contaminant plume that exceeds water quality objectives is <100 feet in length. There is no free product. The nearest existing water supply well or surface water body is >250 feet from the defined plume boundary.	YES	O NO
2. Media Specific Criteria: Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air - The site is considered low-threat for the vapor-intrusion-to-air pathway if site-specific conditions satisfy items 2a, 2b, or 2c - CLEAR SECTION ANSWERS	YE	S
EXEMPTION - Active Commercial Petroleum Fueling Facility	O YES	ON O
Does the site meet any of the Petroleum Vapor intrusion to Indoor Air specific criteria scenarios?	YES	0 но
2a - Scenario 3 (example): Dissolved Phase Benzene Concentrations Only in Groundwater (Low concentration groundwater scenarios with or without O2 measurements must satisfy one i, ii, or iii):	YES]
i. For bloattenuation zone without oxygen measurements or oxygen <4% and benzene concentration are <100 µg/L, the bloattenuation zone: Is a continuous zone that provides a separation of at least 5 feet vertically between the dissolved phase benzene and the foundation of existing or potential building; and contain total TPH <100 mg/kg throughout the entire depth of the bloattenuation zone.	YES	⊕ №
ii. For bloattenuation zone without oxygen measurements or oxygen <4% and benzene concentration are >100 µg/L but <1,000 µg/L, the bioattenuation zone: Is a continuous zone that provides a separation of at least 10 feet vertically between the dissolved phase benzene and the foundation of existing or potential building, and contain total TPH <100 mg/kg throughout the entire depth of the bioattenuation zone.	() YES	⊚ ио
iii. For bloattenuation zone with oxygen ≥ 4% and benzene concentration are <1,000 µg/L. the bloattenuation zone; is a continuous zone that provides a separation of at least 5 feet vertically between the dissolved phase benzene and the foundation of existing or potential building, and contain total TPH <100 mg/kg throughout the entire depth of the bloattenuation zone.	© YES	⊚ №
3. Media Specific Criteria: Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure - The site is considered low-threat for direct contact and outdoor air exposure if it meets 1, 2, or 3 be - QEAR SECTION ANSWERS	elow.	YES
EXEMPTION - The upper 10 feet of soil is free of petroleum contamination	YES	◎ NO
Additional Information		
This case should be kept OPEN in spite of meeting policy criteria.	YES	NO
Has this LTCP Checklist been updated for FY 14/15?	YES	Ø NO
The Bird Controlled Dear appeared to 1. ITIUI	-	

LOGGED IN AS TLUNDQUIST

CONTACT GEOTRACKER HELP